Provost Advisory Council Summary of December 4, 2008 meeting

Meghan Cawley Bert Garza David Quigley Bob Bloom Cole Boskey Pat Byrne Paul Lewis Pat DeLeeuw James Russell Anita Tien Don Hafner Lillie Albert Ferna Phillips John Spinard Othelia Lee Hassan Tehranian Callista Roy Kevin Bedell Dick Cobb-Stevens Monique Lowd Kay Lemon

Paul Davidovits Angela Amar (for Robin Fleming Rosanna DeMarco)

- 1. The summary of the November 6, 2008 meeting was approved and will be forwarded to the President's Office.
- 2. Meg Ryan joined the Council to hear any questions about the draft handbook for Academic Program Reviews. All departments and the professi(o)-461[-6(l)--5(s)c-6(h)o6(h)o6(h)l4(i)--6

- University, it was noted that when appropriate, a department should provide explanation for how the larger University context does (or does not) apply.
- It was proposed that departments should receive as much advance notice as possible as to when they will undertake self-studies. The Provost noted that the Deans are submitting a five-year schedule for the departmental reviews.
- It was suggested the guidelines for preparing the self-study (in section 2) could explicitly state that the department should provide long-term and short-term goals as well as the other elements outlined (departmental overview, description of programs, description of teaching activities, description of research activities, and description of service activities).
- It was stated that the guidelines for the external visit should explicitly include time for the reviewers to meet with undergraduate and graduate students.

Next steps:

- 1. The Academic Program Review handbook will be finalized, incorporating comments from the Council of Deans and the Provost's Advisory Council.
- 3. The Council reviewed language for Conflict of Interest/Conflict of Commitment policies. Once these are adopted, it will be expected that each faculty member will annually sign a Conflict of Interest/Conflict of Commitment statement.
 - It was noted that on occasion, faculty members may be asked to serve in a consulting capacity (e.g., expert witness) that may take more time than is customarily prescribed in the COI/COC statement. In those cases, it is expected that the Dean and the department chair will be involved in discussions with the faculty member about what would be appropriate.
 - A question was raised about the specific language and tone of the Conflict of Interest portion of the statement. Because this language is drawn directly from the University's Policies and Procedures, it is not possible for us to amend wording at this time.
 - It was noted that journal editorships, service in professional associations, etc. are encouraged activities for faculty, but they also pose potential conflicts of commitment and should be reported by faculty.

Next steps:

- 1. Council members are encouraged to review expected faculty activities enumerated under "Conflict of Commitment," note omissions, and suggest additions.
- 2. The Provost's Office will develop a form for faculty to sign, which will be presented to the Council for discussion.

4. Provost's Report

• Comments and questions about the President's recent letter regarding the economic downturn were invited. The Provost noted that BC relies on its endowment for approximately 10% of its operating expenses. Despite the current economic uncertainties, all approved faculty searches will continue. No information is avvfoT1_1 1 Tf Tw -28.93n ichi(t)-22(y)20(s)-1-2(i)-2(e)hac. are

- suggested that the Provost's Office might issue a statement explicitly stating that approved faculty searches will proceed.
- A question was raised about whether, given the current economic turmoil, there were any students who have been closed out of registration due to family financial difficulties. It was reported that very few such cases had arisen thus far, and that the university will do what it can to respond. Faculty are encouraged to refer their advisees to the Financial Aid office to discuss any challenges. The Provost's Office will follow up with Student Services about course registration.
- A member of the Committee raised a question about the transition from a course-based system of degree credit to a credit-based system, suggesting that some departments had doubts that they would be able to devise a scheme for making such a conversion. Don Hafner noted that the request to Schools and departments to submit an implementation plan was intended to uncover such difficulties, and he urged all Schools and departments to continue their efforts, with the understanding that any difficulties in meeting the transition schedule can be discussed.